Approved For Release 2002/05/16: CIA-RDP80-00473A000200044000071377

-	DD/A	Keg1stry
	77-	4.536

Executive	- sistry
77-13	12/5

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Acting Director of Central Intelligence
FROM:	Michael J. Malanick Acting Deputy Director for Administration
SUBJECT:	Relationships with Agency Contractors
REFERENCE:	Undated memo proposed for A-DDCI signature, same subject
Jack:	,
Director of Logi Associate Genera have objections the Office of Ge contractor reque Government trans deputy director position to comm  posed policy sta and the DDO were classified or be addition, the ty the use or trans and only the tec necessary unders security implica the specter of i of an item, of co Counsel are avai tractor should b any comment we me	ave discussed the referent memo with the stics who advises that both he and the 1 Counsel, Office of Logistics (AGC/OL), to paragraph 2.a. which requires OL and neral Counsel (OGC) to respond to all sts for comment on non-Agency, non-U.S. actions. Both OL and OGC feel that the or office head concerned is in a better ent on such requests. In the case of which I believe prompted this protement, only the Office of Technical Service in a position to state if the items were sing utilized currently in operations. In the periodical of technology for commercial purposes, chnical or operational component has the standing of the operational sensitivity or ations. For those questions which raise course the Offices of Logistics and General lable for consultation. However, the concept of the consultation of the U.S. Government.

2. I believe the above makes common sense and, in fact, is the way such things are handled presently.

25X1

25X1

This memo may be downgraded to Confidential upon removal of attachment.

E2 IMPDET CL

se 2002/05/16 : CIA-RDP80-00473A000200040007-3

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/05/16: CIA-RDP80-00473A000200040007-3

SUBJECT: Relationships with Agency Contractors	25X <sup>-</sup>
However, I have instructed the Director of Logistics to prepare a policy statement for your signature reminding the directorates of their responsibilities in these types of matters and to incorporate it in an appropriate regulation issuance.	
I see no objections to paragraph 2.b. of the referent. However, since it is proposed to establish the requirement for immediate notification by the COTR's of his supervisor and Contracting Officer (CO) of any verbal request he makes to a contractor to start work, I suggest we carry it one step further and limit such requests or authorizations to the CO. In this way we ensure the loop is closed, and the authorization is both proper and legal If you concur in this approach, I will direct the Director of Logistics to issue a Procurement Note to all CO's to amend all existing contracts to eliminate provisions which provide for anyone other than the contracting office to authorize work and that all contracts in the future will not include such provision.	1 2 2 2
$ \qquad \qquad$	

Attachment: Reference

25X1

